The Rosia Montana Gold & Silver Project:

A Project for Romania
CAUTIONARY NOTES

The summary information contained in this presentation is provided by Gabriel Resources Limited ( "Company" or "Gabriel") in respect of its interest in the Rosia Montana Gold and Silver Project ("Rosia Montana Project" or "Project"). The Project is owned through Rosia Montana Gold Corporation S.A. ("RMGC"), in which Gabriel holds an 80.69% stake with the balance held by the Romanian State. Except as otherwise indicated, the information contained in this presentation is as of February 2015.

The contents of this presentation are not to be construed as investment, legal, financial or tax advice. The information is neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities nor shall it or any part of it form the basis of or be relied on in connection with, or act as an inducement to enter into, any contract or commitment whatsoever. The contents of this presentation are being provided to you solely for your information and must not be copied, published, reproduced, distributed in whole or in part to others at any time by recipients. Any failure to comply with this restriction may constitute a violation of securities laws. The securities of the Company are not listed in the United States and this presentation shall not be construed as an advertisement or public offering of the securities to U.S. investors.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This presentation contains “forward-looking statements”, within the meaning of the applicable Canadian securities legislation, concerning the business, operations and financial performance and condition of the Company, that are based on management’s current expectations, estimates and projections. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to the expected outcomes of the application processes for permits, endorsements and licenses, including without limitation the ongoing review of the environmental impact assessment, for the Project; permitting timelines; government and European Union regulations of mining and exploration operations; the timing and possible outcome of pending litigation concerning the Project; the economic impacts of the Project; requirements for additional capital; the future price of gold and silver; the estimation of Mineral Reserves and Resources; the realization of Mineral Reserve estimates; the costs, timing and amount of estimated future production; mine life; ore recovery rates; costs of production; capital, operating and exploration expenditures; costs and timing of development and construction; costs and timing of future exploration; the timing for delivery of plant and equipment; job creation projections; patrimony plans; hedging practices; currency exchange rate fluctuations; environmental risks; rehabilitation, reclamation and closure expenses; title disputes or claims; requirements for financial guarantees; and limitations on insurance coverage. Generally, these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "plans", "expects" or "does not expect", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates" or "does not anticipate", "believes" or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will be taken", "occur" or "be achieved". Forward-looking information involves and is subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Gabriel and/or its subsidiaries to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking information. Such factors include, among others, general business, economic, competitive, political and social uncertainties; the actual results of current exploration activities and feasibility studies; assumptions in economic evaluations which prove to be inaccurate; fluctuations in the value of currencies; future prices of gold and other metals; changes to the cost of inputs (including, but not limited to, labour, cement, steel, capital equipment, reagents and fuel); possible variations of ore grade or recovery rates; failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated; accidents, labour disputes or slowdowns and other risks of the mining industry; climatic conditions; political instability, insurrection or war; arbitrary decisions by governmental authorities; delays in obtaining governmental approvals or financing or in the completion of land acquisition, development or construction activities; as well as those factors discussed in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in the Company's annual information form for the year ended December 31, 2013 available at www.sedar.com. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statements that are included in this document, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Certain scientific and technical information regarding the Project contained in this presentation is extracted or derived from the Company’s “Technical Report on the Rosia Montana Gold and Silver Project Transylvania, Romania” with an effective date of October 1, 2012 (“2012 Technical Report”), which is filed under the Company’s SEDAR profile at www.sedar.com. SRK Consulting (UK) Ltd (“SRK”), authored the 2012 Technical Report under the supervision of Dr. Mike Armitage C.Eng C.Geol, Group Chairman of SRK, who is considered an independent qualified person for the purposes of National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”) guidelines. All Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves statements contained in the 2012 Technical Report are classified in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum’s “CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines” as per the requirements of NI 43-101. For more information with respect to the data verification procedures undertaken and the key assumptions, parameters and risks associated with the foregoing scientific and technical information, please refer to the 2012 Technical Report.
Investment Highlights

World class asset:
- One of the most significant gold deposits outside the ownership of a “major” mining company:
  - Measured & Indicated Resources – 17.1 Moz Au and 81.1 Moz Ag\(^1\) / Proven & Probable Reserves - 10.1 Moz Au and 47.6 Moz Ag\(^2\)
- Potential to be Europe’s largest gold mine
- Lowest quartile cash cost anticipated
- 80.69% owned by GBU, with 19.31% State partner

Potential for growth:
- No resource definition drilling since 2005
- Additional exploration properties at Bucium Rodu Frasin (Au-Ag) and Bucium Tarnita (Cu-Au)
- Rosia Poieni (large State owned copper mine) operating nearby
- Potential to expand a major mining district

Cash in bank, long-term owners:
- $52.6 million in cash as at September 30, 2014
- Cash optimization a priority
- Electrum, BSG, Baupost, Newmont - >60%

Stalled permitting - Dispute resolution process enacted:
- Formal notification of dispute sent to Prime Minister and President of Romania on January 20, 2015
- Consultation period – Company looking for amicable resolution

\(^1\) Source: 2012 Technical Report – Measured and Indicated Resources: 513Mt @ 1.04 g/t Au and 5 g/t Ag (based on a 0.4 g/t Au cut-off grade)
\(^2\) Source: 2012 Technical Report – Proven and Probable Reserves: 215Mt @ 1.46 g/t Au and 6.88 g/t Ag
Rosia Montana Project – Perspective
Project Key Facts

- Open pit truck and shovel mining process – 1.2:1 waste:ore strip ratio
- Conventional CIL processing (closed circuit) – approximately 15Mtpa design
- 16 year operating mine life
- Old mining district; good infrastructure and access to educated mining talent
- Access to grid power supplied from existing 110kV transmission line currently passing through the Project site
- Readily available water supply from local river
- Direct road and nearby rail access
- Royalty rate 4%¹
- Corporate tax rate 16%

¹ The Romanian Parliament has recently approved legislation to increase the applicable royalty to 6%. Notwithstanding, the 2012 Technical Report and existing concession agreement for the Rosia Montana Project currently reflect a royalty rate of 4%
2012 NI 43-101 – Highlights

- Undertaken by SRK Consulting (UK) Limited; updated from March 2009 NI43-101
- Base case metal price assumptions: US$1,200/oz Au; US$20/oz Ag

Mineral Resource updated using a cut off grade of 0.4g/t; 2009 Resource was stated using 0.6g/t cut off grade

**Annual Gold Production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Mine Life</th>
<th>Production (in 000's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yr1</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr2</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr3</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr4</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr5</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr6</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr7</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr8</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr9</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr10</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr11</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr12</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr13</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr14</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr15</td>
<td>1,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr16</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Annual Silver Production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Mine Life</th>
<th>Production (in 000's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yr1</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr2</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr3</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr4</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr5</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr6</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr7</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr8</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr9</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr10</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr11</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr12</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr13</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr14</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr15</td>
<td>1,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr16</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Initial Capital + Sustaining Capital = Total Capital**

- Initial Capital: US$1.40 billion
- Sustaining Capital: US$571 million
- Total Capital: US$1.97 billion

LOM cash costs:
- Mine: $3.67
- Processing: $9.48
- G&A: $1.87
- Other: $1.95

Total cost per tonne: $16.97

Source: 2012 Technical Report – Operating costs have been estimated in accordance with standard industry practices and are valid as at the third quarter of 2012.

All figures in US Dollars and royalty based on 4%.
### Project Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold price</td>
<td>(US$/oz)</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver price</td>
<td>(US$/oz)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life of Mine Cash cost</td>
<td>(US$/oz)</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-production capital</td>
<td>(US$m)</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining capital</td>
<td>(US$m)</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure cost</td>
<td>(US$m)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiscounted cashflow after tax</td>
<td>(US$m)</td>
<td>3,606</td>
<td>1,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV after tax (5% discount rate(^1))</td>
<td>(US$m)</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR after tax</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) A 5% discount rate was used in the March 2009 43-101 Technical Report. The Base Case discount rate used in the 2012 Technical Report is 10%.
Romania

- 2,000 years of mining history with significant gold, silver and copper produced
- In the 5 years leading to EU accession in 2007, in excess of 500 mines were closed, with over 200,000 jobs lost
- Romania is in the European Union; governed by EU rules and regulations
- Coalition Governments under socialist party leadership since December 2012
- New President elected in November 2014
- With the current GDP per capita among the lowest in the EU, the Romanian government needs to look to harness the potential of its natural resources
- Educated and skilled workforce

Rosia Montana

- Located in a highly prospective mining district
- Rosia Montana has been mined for 2,000 years and most recently by the state until 2006
- Unemployment in the Rosia Montana region is estimated at 90% - following Company redundancies in last 12 months
- The Project will assist in the clean-up of pollution from years of unregulated mining in the Rosia Montana region
- Designed to comply with or exceed Romanian laws and EU directives
- Key infrastructure already in place
- Long-lead time equipment already purchased and stored in warehouses
  - SAG mill
  - 2 x Ball mills
  - Crusher
Recent Project progress

- August 2013 – the Government approved an agreement that included revised equity and royalty terms, 25% and 6% respectively to the State and draft legislation relating to the Project; a Special Draft Law that declared the Project of national public interest
- Q4 2013 – the Special Joint Committee of the Chamber of Deputies and of the Senate of the Parliament, established on September 17, 2013 for the examination and issuance of an opinion on the Special Draft Law decided to reject it. This was also subsequently rejected by the Senate of the Parliament on November 19
- Q4 2013 - a revised Mining Law, approved in early December by the Joint Commissions of Industries and Administration, received 160 of the 204 votes required for approval in Parliament; it therefore did not pass into legislation
- March 2014 – Company announces layoff of over 400 employees in Romania
- April 2, 2014 – TAC meeting to consider environmental issues raised in the Report of the Special Joint Committee of the Chamber of Deputies – broadly inconclusive
- April 2014 – loss of two court cases relating to an archaeological certificate and PUZ endorsement
- May 2014 – Chamber of Deputies of Parliament rejected the Special Draft Law
- July 24, 2014 – TAC meeting to discuss the Ministry of Environment’s requirement for further studies on the permeability of the Project’s tailings management facility – broadly inconclusive
- Q4, 2014 – The Project once again is used as a political debate theme in the Presidential election runoff with statements from all candidates
- December 2014 – PNL (Liberals) candidate Klaus Iohannis becomes President
- January 20, 2015 – Company issues notice letter to President and Prime Minister regarding dispute under various bi-lateral investment treaties
- January 2015 – Following the dispute letter Klaus Iohannis calls for the Government and the Company to talk
- To be completed
  - TAC unanimous recommendation → cabinet approval of EIA → issuance of Environmental Permit
  - PUZ for the Industrial Project Area and Historical Area
**Surface Rights Status**

**Project Objective:**
Secure 100% of the surface rights and hand over to the construction team

- 60% of total surface already secured
- 40% of total surface still to be secured
- 39% are institutional properties
- 61% belongs to residential owners

- To date RMGC has secured 757ha of land, amounting to 60% the total surface area required for Project construction – this includes 78% of the number of homes in the Project footprint
- Of the total remaining surface to be acquired, 39% (196ha) are institutional properties
- The remaining 304ha (61%) of the total surface to be acquired belongs to private owners
- A total of 155 households located under the Project footprint are still to be relocated

Once the Environmental Permit is granted the Company will resume the surface rights acquisition process; the acquisition programme ceased soon after the 2007 permitting process was put on hold

**Resettlement to Date:**
- 125 families opted for resettlement in the Recea neighbourhood at Alba Iulia – a location chosen by the community and built by RMGC
- Construction began in 2006 and was completed in 2010
- Resettlement and community support is an ongoing commitment of the Company
- Land is available and in possession for expansion of up to 80 additional houses for those opting for resettlement
# Rosia Montana Project Benefits: Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economy</th>
<th>The vision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High unemployment / poverty rate</td>
<td>&gt;3,000 in direct jobs over the Project’s lifetime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of opportunities for businesses</td>
<td>Opportunities for local businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harsh macroeconomic conditions</td>
<td>&gt;$24 billion(^1) in total contribution to Romania’s GDP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Acid waters are cleaned by operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current pollution</td>
<td>Pits are closed and planted or transformed into lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid waters; heavy metal contamination in local water system</td>
<td>Pilot water treatment plant currently operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned open pits</td>
<td>Establishment of a +$146 million financial guarantee for closure, environmental restoration and post-closure monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil contamination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patrimony</th>
<th>+$100m commitment to the future by Gabriel/RMGC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most Roman galleries not accessible</td>
<td>Many Roman galleries restored and open for visitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited historical monuments exhibited</td>
<td>Restoration of historical town center; most historical houses renovated and used for sustainable development programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural heritage houses in advanced degradation, no budget available to the government to research or preserve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Community life and traditions are restored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young leaving the area in search of a better life</td>
<td>Sustainable development strategies (professional reconversions, business plans for post mining activities)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty affects social cohesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OVERALL**

Impoverished community with no real alternative

**SOLUTION** - Prosperity, growth, clean environment, tourist destination, cultural heritage rehabilitated. A long term future for Rosia Montana

\(^1\) At a gold price of USD $1,200/oz
Summary

Gabriel Resources, Romania and Rosia Montana

- Bringing one of the world’s largest undeveloped gold projects to production
- Rosia Montana has the potential to become one of Europe’s largest gold mines
- Production profile of c.500,000 oz Au per annum at lowest quartile cash costs
- Project economics robust at current gold/silver prices; close to US$0.5 billion free cash flow generated per annum with a substantial reinvestment into the Romanian economy
- >US$24 billion direct and indirect potential impact from the Project on the Romanian economy at current gold prices
- The Project provides the only solution for local community and the historical center of Rosia Montana

Permitting Europe’s largest gold mine……..

- Local Romanian public support through December 9, 2012 positive referendum vote - community support remains
- Sustainable project for the future
- Environmental permitting process needs to be completed
- Following recent political impasse, issue of dispute notification letter, and the lay off of majority of workforce, waiting to resume talks with key Government officials and ministries
- Arbitration decision not made - potential route in Project development
- TSX listing with strong global shareholder base

1 Source: 2012 Technical Report
2 At a gold price of USD $1,200/oz
ANNEXES
# Rosia Montana Project – Resources and Reserves\(^1,2,3,4,5,6,7\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Tonnes (Mt)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Ag (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Au M oz</th>
<th>Contained Ag M oz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>171.5</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>43.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>341.2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>37.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured &amp; Indicated</td>
<td>512.7</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.14</td>
<td>81.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserves</th>
<th>Tonnes (Mt)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Ag (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Au M oz</th>
<th>Contained Ag M oz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>102.5</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>214.9</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2. For more information with respect to the data verification procedures undertaken and the key assumptions, parameters and risks associated with the foregoing scientific and technical information, please refer to the 2012 Technical Report, a copy of which is filed under the Company’s SEDAR profile.
3. Mineral Resources estimates set out above are estimated based on a 0.4 g/t Au cut-off grade.
4. Numbers may not total due to rounding.
5. Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources are mine and property totals and are not limited to Gabriel’s proportionate interests in the Project.
6. All quoted Mineral Resources are inclusive of the Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources which are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
7. Development of the Project is dependent on successful permitting.
Environmental – General

**Gabriel / RMGC commitments:**

- Integrate environmental standards in all activities; ensure high standards of employee training;
- Establish and maintain a management system to identify, monitor, control and improve the environmental performance of RMGC activities;
- Finance independent audits and establish independent monitoring mechanism in order to assess environmental performance in relation to objectives;
- Comply with all the applicable laws in Romania and the EU, subject to the reporting requirements and any other obligations;
- Use Best Available Techniques (BATs) in all operations and activities in order to enhance environmental protection in all the operating phases of the mine;
- Implement efficient and transparent communications with stakeholders with regard to the environmental aspects of RMGC activities and encourage public debate on environmental matters;
- Co-operate with national and international institutions and organizations in order to develop efficient, cost-effective and environmental-friendly measures;
- Compliance with Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards. Project has also initiated a process to comply with IFC Performance Standard 6 adopted in January 2013;
- The Project is committed to remedying past environmental damage caused by over 2,000 years of poorly regulated mining activity;
- RMGC planning to invest $ millions in immediately tackling pollution problems of this type.
Environmental – Rosia Montana
Environmental – Rosia Montana
Environmental – Rosia Montana
Environmental – Rosia Montana
Culture – Rosia Montana
Culture – Rosia Montana
Historically Romania had a strong mining industry

More than 2.6 million people (approx. 12% of the country’s population) live in approximately 600 mining communities

By 2006, the Romanian government closed 80% of the 650 mines that had been operating in the early 90s (to meet EU accession criteria)

More than 200,000 jobs have been lost since 2006; less than 8,000 new jobs created through alternative job creation programs

People living in mining communities want mining to continue as well as mining traditions

RMGC has demonstrated its continued commitment to helping restore the Project mining community and foster sustainability through:

- hosting and supporting social activities and awareness programs;
- investment in restoration and infrastructure

Through its EIA commitments, the Company will invest >$70m in local heritage and cultural aspects in and around Rosia Montana over the life of the Project (and up to $50m more across Romania)
Old School in Historical Centre

‘Old School’: built in 1898 and used as school until 2005
- Currently owned by the Local Council
- Restoration / Conversion plan
  - Design completed
  - Restoration largely complete (now weatherproof)
- Proposal to partner with the Local Council for use in community needs and to support tourism

In total, over 300 properties in the historic town center (Protected Area) will be restored / rehabilitated

Future functions for buildings:
- Mining Museum (opened 2010)
- Dwellings for residents
- Guesthouses and restaurants
- Social dwellings for local people
- Offices and shops
Mining Museum
Mining Museum

The First House Fully Restored

- The house is situated in the protected area of Rosia Montana’s historic centre.

- The building is part of a group of 9 houses situated in the central square of Rosia Montana, all proposed to be organised in a mining museum complex.
Recea Resettlement Community